

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:  
DEAN A. ERSFELD  
OFFICE OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COUNSEL  
POST OFFICE BOX 33427  
SAINT PAUL, MN 55133-3427

## PCT

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Applicant's or agent's file reference  59104WO003		Date of mailing (day/month/year) <b>25 JAN 2006</b>
International application No.  PCT/US04/38033	International filing date (day/month/year)  12 November 2004 (12.11.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year)  14 November 2003 (14.11.2003)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  IPC(8): C07D 471/00; A61K 31/44 and US Cl.: 546/82; 514/293		
Applicant  3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY		

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Box No. I	Basis of the opinion	Box No. II	Priority	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201	Date of completion of this opinion  13 December 2005 (13.12.2005)	Authorized officer  Amelia A. Owens  Telephone No. 571-272-0700
--	---	---

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/38033

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:  
 the international application in the language in which it was filed  
 a translation of the international application into \_\_\_\_\_, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
  - a. type of material  
 a sequence listing  
 table(s) related to the sequence listing
  - b. format of material  
 on paper  
 in electronic form
  - c. time of filing/furnishing  
 contained in the international application as filed.  
 filed together with the international application in electronic form.  
 furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.  In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/38033

**Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability**

The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:

the entire international application  
 claims Nos. 13-15 and 17-38

because:

the said international application, or the said claim Nos. \_\_\_\_\_ relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international search (specify):

the description, claims or drawings (*indicate particular elements below*) or said claims Nos. 13-15 and 17-38 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):

The claims are found to be unsearchable because they are improper multiple dependent claims. PCT 6

the claims, or said claims Nos. \_\_\_\_\_ are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):

no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. \_\_\_\_\_

a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:

furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.

furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.

pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter.1(a) or (b).

a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.

the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.

See Supplemental Box for further details.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/38033

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention

1.  In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has, within the applicable time limit:
  - paid additional fees
  - paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee
  - paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid
  - not paid additional fees
2.  This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
  - complied with
  - not complied with for the following reasons:

See the Lack of unity section of the International Search Report (Form PCT/ISA/210)

4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
  - all parts.
  - the parts relating to claims Nos. 1,2 in part where Ra/Rb (1) do not form ring; (2) form a 6-membered carbocyclic ring; (3) form a 6-membered nitrogen containing ring AND claims 3,9,11

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.  
PCT/US04/38033

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims <u>1,2 in-part, 3-12,16</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims <u>1,2 in-part, 3-12,16</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1,2 in part, 3-12,16</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-2 (in part where RA/RB (1) do not form ring; (2) form a 6-membered carbocyclic ring; (3) form a 6-membered nitrogen containing ring along with claims 3-12,16 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

Claims 1-2 (in part where RA/RB (1) do not form ring; (2) form a 6-membered carbocyclic ring; (3) form a 6-membered nitrogen containing ring along with claims 3-12,16 the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the compounds.

See Kshirsagar et al (CA 143:26604) that teach compounds similar to those claimed. See below. However, the reference has a 2005 date which is after the instant November 14, 2003 priority date.

